

PGM, Hidden Markov Models

Junxian He Mar 26, 2024 COMP 5212 Machine Learning Lecture 16



Recap: Probabilistic Graphical Models

It is a smart way to write/specify/compose/design exponentially-large probability distributions without paying an exponential cost, and at the same time endow the distributions with structured semantics



 $P(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7, X_8)$

More formal definition:

It refers to a family of distributions on a set of random variables that are compatible with all the probabilistic independence propositions encoded by a graph that connects these variables

 $P(X_{1:8}) = P(X_1)P(X_2)P(X_3 | X_1X_2)P(X_4 | X_2)P(X_5 | X_2)$ $P(X_6|X_3, X_4)P(X_7|X_6)P(X_8|X_5, X_6)$



Probabilistic Graphical Model is a graphical language to express conditional independence

Conditionally Independent Observations



Model parameters



Data {X1, X2 Xn}





variables within a plate are replicated in a conditionally independent manner

Example: Gaussian Model

Generative model:

 $p(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \mid \mu, \sigma) = \mathbf{P} \ p(\mathbf{x}_i \mid \mu, \sigma)$ $= p(\text{data} \mid \text{parameters})$ $= p(\mathbf{D} \mid \theta)$ $\text{where } \theta = \{\mu, \sigma\}$





We typically use gray variables to denote observed variables

Gaussian Mixture Model / Gaussian Discriminative Analysis in PGMs

- Task 1: How do we answer **queries** about *P*?
 - We use **inference** as a name for the process of computing answers to such queries

- Task 2: How do we estimate a plausible model *M* from data *D*?
 - i. We use **learning** as a name for the process of obtaining point estimate of *M*.

Inference and Learning

Query a node (random variable) in the graph



- **Prediction**: what is the probability of an outcome given the starting condition
 - the query node is a descendent of the evidence

- **Diagnosis:** what is the probability of disease/fault given symptoms
 - the query node an ancestor of the evidence



In practice, the observed variable is often the data that is on the leaf nodes



How to Learn the Parameters

1. When θ is the parameter and does not have prior —> MLE

2. When we add the prior over $\theta \rightarrow MAP$ (Bayesian)

 $p(x, z; \theta)$

 $p(x, z, \theta)$

How to do MLE on Latent Variable Models?

Expectation Maximization!

The E-step computes the posterior distribution p(z|x)This process is referred to as inference



• Exact inference algorithms

- The elimination algorithm
- **Belief propagation**
- The junction tree algorithms

• Approximate inference techniques

- Variational algorithms
- Stochastic simulation / sampling methods
- Markov chain Monte Carlo methods

Approaches to Inference

(but will not cover in detail here)

Variational Autoencoders

Elimination Algorithm/ Marginalization





What if the random variables follow this chain structure?



a naïve summation needs to enumerate over an exponential number of terms

i.i.d to sequential data

□ So far we assumed independent, identically distributed data

Sequential (non i.i.d.) data

- Time-series data
 E.g. Speech
- Characters in a sentence



Base pairs along a DNA strand

(Sequential data is still i.i.d on the sequence level)

 ${X_i}_{i=1}^n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} p(\mathbf{X})$



Markov Models

DJoint distribution of *n* arbitrary random variables

$$p(\mathbf{X}) = p(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$$

= $p(X_1)p(X_2|X_1)p(X_3|X_2, X_1) \dots p(X_n|X_{n-1}, \dots, X_1)$
= $\prod_{i=1}^n p(X_n|X_{n-1}, \dots, X_1)$ Chain rule

□ Markov Assumption (mth order) $p(\mathbf{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(X_n | X_{n-1}, \dots, X_{n-m})$ Current observation only depends on past



m observations

Markov Models



$$|X_{n-1}, X_{n-2})$$

Markov Models

Homogeneous/stationary Markov model (probabilities don't depend on n)

Markov Assumption 1st order $p(\mathbf{X}) = \prod_{n=1}^{n} p(X_n | X_{n-1})$ **mth order** $p(\mathbf{X}) = \prod p(X_n | X_{n-1}, \dots, X_{n-m})$ **O(K^{m+1})** i=1**n-1th order** $p(\mathbf{X}) = \prod p(X_n | X_{n-1}, \dots, X_1)$ i=1

≡ no assumptions – complete (but directed) graph

parameters in stationary model K-ary variables

O(K²)

O(Kⁿ)



Observation space Hidden states

$O_t \in \{y_1, y_2, ..., y_K\}$ $S_t \in \{1, ..., I\}$



$$p(S_1, \dots, S_T, O_1, \dots, O_T) = \prod_{t=1}^T p(O_t | S_t) \prod_{t=1}^T p(S_t | S_{t-1})$$

 1^{st} order Markov assumption on hidden states $\{S_t\}$ t = 1, ..., T (can be extended to higher order).

Is O_T and O_2 independent?

 Parameters — stationary/homogeneous markov model (independent of time t)

Initial probabilities $p(S_1 = i) = \pi_i$

Transition probabilities $p(S_t = j | S_{t-1} = i) = p_{ij}$

Emission probabilities $p(O_t = y | S_t = i) = q_i^y$



$$p(\{S_t\}_{t=1}^T, \{O_t\}_{t=1}^T) =$$

$$p(S_1) \prod_{t=2}^T p(S_t | S_{t-1}) \prod_{t=1}^T p(O_t | S_t)$$

 The Dishonest Casino A casino has two dices: Fair dice P(1) = P(2) = P(3) = P(5) = P(6) = 1/6Loaded dice P(1) = P(2) = P(3) = P(5) = 1/10 $P(6) = \frac{1}{2}$

Casino player switches back-&forth between fair and loaded die with 5% probability

HMM Example



GIVEN: A sequence of rolls by the casino player

Question

- 1. How likely is the sequence given our model? This is the evaluation problem in HMMs
- 2. What portion of the sequence was generated with the fair die, and what portion with the loaded die This is the decoding question in HMMs

does the casino player change from fair to loaded, and back? This is the learning question in HMMs



3. How "loaded" is the loaded die? How "fair" is the fair die? How often

□ Switch between F and L with 5% probability



HMM Parameters

Initial probs Transition probs

Emission probabilities

 $\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{S}_1 = \mathsf{L}) = \mathsf{C}$ $P(S_t = L/F|S$ $P(S_t = F/L)$ $P(O_t = y | S_t =$ $P(O_t = y | S_t =$

State Space Representation

$$\begin{array}{ll} 0.5 = P(S_1 = F) \\ S_{t-1} = L/F) = 0.95 \\ S_{t-1} = L/F) = 0.05 \\ = F) = 1/6 \qquad y = 1,2,3,4,5,6 \\ = L) = 1/10 \qquad y = 1,2,3,4,5 \\ \qquad = 1/2 \qquad y = 6 \end{array}$$

Three Main Problems in HMMs

- Evaluation Given HMM parameters & observation seqn $\{O_t\}_{t=1}^T$ find $p({O_t}_{t=1}^T | \theta)$ prob of observed sequence
- **Decoding** Given HMM parameters & observation seqn $\{O_t\}_{t=1}^T$ • find $\arg \max_{s_1,\ldots,s_T} p(\{S_t\}_{t=1}^T | \{O_t\}_{t=1}^T, \theta)$ most probable sequence of hidden states
- Learning Given HMM with unknown parameters and $\{O_t\}_{t=1}^T$ observation sequence

find $\arg \max_{\theta} p(\{O_t\}_{t=1}^T | \theta)$ parameters that maximize likelihood of observed data

HMM Algorithms

- Evaluation What is the probability of the observed sequence? Forward Algorithm
- Decoding What is the probability that the third roll was loaded given the observed sequence? Forward-Backward Algorithm
 - What is the most likely die sequence given the observed sequence? Viterbi Algorithm
- Learning Under what parameterization is the observed sequence most probable? Baum-Welch Algorithm (EM)

Evaluation Problem

Given HMM parameters $p(S_1), p(S_t|S_{t-1}), p(O_t|S_t)$ & observation • sequence $\{O_t\}_{t=1}^T$

$$p(\{O_t\}_{t=1}^T) = \sum_{S_1, \dots, S_T} p(\{O_t\}_{t=1}^T, \{S_t\}_{t=1}^T) = \sum_{S_1, \dots, S_T} p(S_1) \prod_{t=2}^T p(S_t)$$

requires summing over all possible hidden state values at all times – K^T exponential # terms!



Forward Probability

$$p(\{O_t\}_{t=1}^T) = \sum_k p(\{O_t\}_{t=1}^T, S_T = k) = \sum_k \alpha_T^k$$

Compute forward probability α_t^k recursively over t

$$\alpha_t^k := p(O_1, \dots, O_t, S_t = k)$$

٠

٠

Introduce S_{t-1}

Chain rule

Markov assumption

$$= p(O_t | S_t = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{t-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_t = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{i-1}^i p(S_$$



= i)

29

Forward Algorithm

Can compute α_{t}^{k} for all k, t using dynamic programming:

- Initialize: $\alpha_1^k = p(O_1 | S_1 = k) p(S_1 = k)$ •
- Iterate: for t = 2, ..., T• $\alpha_{t}^{k} = p(O_{t} | S_{t} = k) \sum_{i} \alpha_{t-1}^{i} p(S_{t} = k | S_{t-1} = i)$
- $p(\{O_t\}_{t=1}^T) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{k}}$ • Termination:

for all k

for all k

Decoding Problem 1

sequence $\{O_t\}_{t=1}^T$

$$p(S_t = k, \{O_t\}_{t=1}^T) = p(O_1, = p(O_1, O_1))$$

Compute recursively



Given HMM parameters $p(S_1), p(S_t|S_{t-1}), p(O_t|S_t)$ & observation

find probability that hidden state at time t was k $p(S_t = k | \{O_t\}_{t=1}^T)$

Backward Algorithm

Can compute β_{t}^{k} for all k, t using dynamic programming:

• Initialize: $\beta_{T}^{k} = 1$ for all k

- Iterate: for t = T-1, ..., 1 $\beta_t^k = \sum_i p(S_{t+1} = i | S_t = k) p(O$
- Termination: $p(S_t = k, \{O_t\}_{t=1}^T) = \alpha_t^k \beta_t^k$

 $p(S_t = k | \{O_t\}_{t=1}^T) = \frac{p(S_t = k, \{O_t\}_{t=1}^T)}{p(\{O_t\}_{t=1}^T)}$

$$D_{t+1}|S_{t+1} = i)\beta_{t+1}^{i}$$
 for all k

$$\frac{\partial_t \}_{t=1}^T}{\sum_{i=1}^T} = \frac{\alpha_t^k \beta_t^k}{\sum_i \alpha_t^i \beta_t^i}$$

32

Most Likely State vs. Most Likely Sequence

Most likely state assignment at time t

 $\arg\max_{k} p(S_{t} = k | \{O_{t}\}_{t=1}^{T}$

E.g. Which die was most likely used by the casino in the third roll given the observed sequence?

Most likely assignment of state sequence $\arg\max_{\{S_t\}_{t=1}^T} p(\{S_t\}_{t=1}^T | \{C_t\}_{t=1}^T | \{C_t\}_{$

Are the solutions the same?

$$_{1}) = \arg\max_{k} \alpha_{t}^{k} \beta_{t}^{k}$$

$$O_t\}_{t=1}^T)$$

Decoding Problem 2

• Given HMM parameters $p(S_1), p(S_t|S_{t-1}), p(O_t|S_t)$ & observation sequence $\{O_t\}_{t=1}^T$

find most likely assignment of state sequence

 $\arg\max_{\{S_t\}_{t=1}^T} p(\{S_t\}_{t=1}^T | \{O_t\}_{t=1}^T) = \arg$

 $= \arg \max_{k} \max_{\{S_t\}}$

 V_T^k - probability of most likely sequ state $S_T = k$

$$g \max_{\{S_t\}_{t=1}^T} p(\{S_t\}_{t=1}^T, \{O_t\}_{t=1}^T)$$

$$\max_{\{S_t\}_{t=1}^T} p(S_T = k, \{S_t\}_{t=1}^{T-1}, \{O_t\}_{t=1}^T)$$

$$V_T^k$$

$$V_T^k$$
Compute recursively
uence of states ending at

Viterbi Decoding

 $\max_{\{S_t\}_{t=1}^T} p(\{S_t\}_{t=1}^T)$

Compute probability V^k_t recursively over t

 $V_t^k := \max_{S_1, \dots, S_{t-1}} p(S_t = k, S_1)$

•

•

Bayes rule

$$= p(O_t | S_t = k) \max_i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = i) V_{t-1}^i$$

$$=_{1}, \{O_t\}_{t=1}^T) = \max_k V_T^k$$

$$1,\ldots,S_{t-1},O_1,\ldots,O_t)$$



Can compute V^k for all k, t using dynamic programming:

- for all k • Initialize: $V_1^k = p(O_1 | S_1 = k)p(S_1 = k)$
- Iterate: for t = 2, ..., T

$$V_t^k = p(O_t | S_t = k) \max_i p(S_t = k | S_{t-1} = i) V_{t-1}^i$$
 for all k

- $\max_{\{S_t\}_{t=1}^T} p(\{S_t\}_{t=1}^T, \{O_t\}_{t=1}^T)$ • Termination:
 - $S_T^* = \arg\max_k V_T^k$ Traceback:

$$S_{t-1}^* = \arg\max_i p(S_t^* | S_{t-1} = i) V_{t-1}^i$$

Viterbi Algorithm

$$\{T_{t=1}\} = \max_k V_T^k$$

Can we do in the backward direction?

Computational Complexity

•



What is the running time for Forward, Backward, Viterbi?

$$\alpha_t^k = q_k^{O_t} \sum_i \alpha_{t-1}^i p_{i,k}$$
$$\beta_t^k = \sum_i p_{k,i} q_i^{O_{t+1}} \beta_{t+1}^i$$
$$V_t^k = q_k^{O_t} \max_i p_{i,k} V_{t-1}^i$$

 $O(K^2T)$ linear in T instead of $O(K^T)$ exponential in T!

- Start with random initialization of parameters •
- **E-step** Fix parameters, find expected state assignments

$$\gamma_i(t) = p(S_t = i | O, \theta) = \frac{\alpha_t^i \beta_t^i}{\sum_j \alpha_t^j \beta_t^j} \qquad \mathbf{O} = \{O_t\}_{t=1}^T$$

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\xi_{ij}(t)} &= \boldsymbol{p}(S_{t-1}=i, S_t=j|\boldsymbol{O}, \theta) \\ &= \frac{p(S_{t-1}=i|O, \theta)p(S_t=j, O_t, \dots, O_T|S_{t-1}=i, \theta)}{p(O_t, \dots, O_T|S_{t-1}=i, \theta)} \\ &= \frac{\gamma_i(t-1) p_{ij} q_j^{O_t} \beta_t^j}{\beta_{t-1}^i} \end{aligned}$$



Forward-Backward algorithm

You will derive the EM in your HW



Thank You! Q&A